



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,163	04/25/2001	Sunil Maulik	GMS 301	8585
7590 12/08/2003			EXAMINER	
James R. Abney			NGUYEN, NHON D	
KOLISCH, HA	RTWELL, DICKINSON			
McCORMACK & HEUSER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200			2174	/-
Portland, OR 97204			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		724				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/843,163	MAULIK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet will	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a concept of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is a concept within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprepay within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 April 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. of first sentence of the specifical provisional application has beestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)	,					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not 	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9-11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lubin et al. ("Lubin", US 5,395,243).

As per independent claim 1, Lubin teaches a computer-based educational system, comprising:

A content pane configured to present a presentation of a series of slides (72 of fig. 5a); and

A progress control configured to adjust a user's progress through a currently displayed slide (highlighted cursor on slide 72 of fig. 5a).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches the currently displayed slide includes a plurality of frames (plurality of frames in slide 72 of fig. 5a), and the progress control is configured to adjust the currently displayed frame of the currently displayed slide (highlight the displayed frame *USING TYING KEYS* on slide 72 of fig. 5a).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 2, Lubin teaches the progress control further includes distinguishable regions indicating a previously viewed portion of the currently displayed slide and a remaining portion of the currently displayed slide (highlighted cursor in fig. 5a is used as a distinguisher indicating a previously viewed portion of the currently displayed slide and a remaining portion of the currently displayed slide).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches a table of contents pane including hyperlinks to the slides of the presentation (42 of fig. 2).

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches a slide title pane configured to display a title of the currently displayed slide (42 of fig. 2).

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 1, since Lubin's interactive learning system can generate audio instruction via the speakers (col. 3, lines 18-24), it is inherent to have a volume control configured to control the volume of the presentation displayed in the content pane.

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches the presentation includes voiceover narration, the system further comprising, a transcript selector configured to cause a text transcript of the voiceover narration to be displayed (col. 3, lines 25-53).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches a help selector configured to cause a help file related to the presentation to be displayed (col. 8, lines 57-64).

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches a resource selector configured to cause a resources list related to the presentation to be displayed (*DETAIL* of fig. 5b).

As per claim 18, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin teaches a course selector configured to enable a user to choose a presentation to be viewed in the content pane (fig. 3a and 3b).

As per independent claim 20, Lubin teaches a computer-based educational system, comprising:

a content pane configured to present a series of slides (72 of fig. 5a), the slides being accompanied by voiceover narration and a link to a text transcript of the voiceover narration (col. 3, lines 25-53).

As per independent claim 23, it is a similar scope to claim 20; therefore, it should be rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2174

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lubin in view of Kaply (US 6,215,490).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 2, Lubin teaches the progress control is configured to enable the user to sequentially scroll through the frames of the slide, however Lubin does not disclose the content pane is configured to display the frames in real-time as the user scrolls. Kaply discloses in fig. 6A-6C when a user scrolls along the progress control 232, the window frames A, B, and C are displayed in real-time. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Kaply of displaying the frames in real-time as scrolling along the progress control in Lubin's system since it would help to make the process of displaying the selected frame faster.

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose the progress control includes slider having a handle. Kaply disclose in fig. 6A a progress control includes slider 232 having a handle 234. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Kaply of including slider having a handle in the progress control in Lubin's system since it would be easier to scroll along the progress control using a slider with a handle.

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose a slide indicator configured to indicate the number of the current slide and the number of total slides in the presentation. Kaply disclose that as 206 of fig. 5A. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Kaply of including a slide indicator configured to indicate the number of the current slide and the number of total slides in the presentation in Lubin's system since it would allow a user to quickly recognize the total number of slides and which one is currently displayed.

5. Claims 6, 7, 19, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lubin in view of Meyn et al. ("Meyn", US 5,859,623).

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose navigation controls selected from the group consisting of next slide control, previous slide control, rewind slide control, play control, and pause control. Meyn discloses navigation controls 221-228 (fig. 2D; col. 12, lines 37-50) used to control the frame displays. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Meyn of including navigation controls selected from the group consisting of next slide control, previous slide control, rewind slide control, play control, and pause control in Lubin's system since it would help to make the process of displaying the selected slide faster.

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose the progress control is configured to indicate a user's time-based viewing progress through the currently displayed slide. Meyn discloses a user's time-based viewing progress 252 (fig. 2D; col. 12, line

Page 7

Art Unit: 2174

51- col. 13, line 7) through the currently displayed slide. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Meyn of indicating a user's time-based viewing progress through the currently displayed slide in Lubin's system since it would help a user to monitor how much time left for the displayed slide.

As per independent claim 19, Lubin teaches a computer-based educational system, comprising:

a content pane configured to present a presentation of a series of slides (72 of fig. 5a); Lubin does not disclose a progress indicator configured to indicate a user's time-based viewing progress through the presentation. Meyn discloses a user's time-based viewing progress 252 (fig. 2D; col. 12, line 51- col. 13, line 7) through the currently displayed slide. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Meyn of indicating a user's time-based viewing progress through the currently displayed slide in Lubin's system since it would help a user to monitor how much time left for the displayed slide.

As per independent claim 22, it is a similar scope to claim 19; therefore, it should be rejected under the same rationale.

As per independent claim 24, Lubin teaches a computer-based educational method, comprising:

Art Unit: 2174

displaying a presentation of a series of slides in a content pane (72 of fig. 5a), the slides having accompanying voiceover narration; providing a user-selectable link to a text transcript of the voiceover narration (col. 3, lines 25-53); and

Lubin does not disclose indicating a user's time-based viewing progress through the presentation via a progress indicator. Meyn discloses a user's time-based viewing progress 252 (fig. 2D; col. 12, line 51- col. 13, line 7) through the currently displayed slide. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Meyn of indicating a user's time-based viewing progress through the currently displayed slide in Lubin's system since it would help a user to monitor how much time left for the displayed slide.

6. Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lubin.

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose a progress indicator independent from and operatively linked to the progress control, such that progress adjustments made via the progress control are directly reflected in the progress indicator. The Examiner takes Official Notice that progress indicator is well known in the computer art. For example, when downloading program from the Internet using Windows operating system, a progress indicator always shown up indicating the progress of downloading. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to apply the Official Notice of including a progress indicator in Lubin's system since it would improve user's ability of observing the progress of viewing the frames.

Art Unit: 2174

As per 12, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose a pop-up window selector configured to display a pop-up window containing detailed information related to the currently displayed slide. The Examiner takes Official Notice that pop-up window technology to give further information on the selected object is well known and widely used in Windows operating system as well as Internet Web pages. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to apply the Official Notice of including a pop-up window selector configured to display a pop-up window containing detailed information related to the currently displayed slide in Lubin's system since it would allow a user to learn more in detail about the selected slide.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lubin in view of Seaman et al. ("Seaman", US 5,414,644).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 1, Lubin does not disclose a glossary selector configured to cause a glossary related to the presentation to be displayed. Seaman discloses a glossary selector is used in the presentation (col. 9, lines 9-35). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Seaman of including a glossary selector related to the presentation to be displayed in Lubin's system since it would improve Lubin's learning system by allowing a user to check on a collection of textual glosses.

8. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lubin in view of Kaply and further in view of Meyn.

Art Unit: 2174

As per independent claim 21, Lubin teaches a computer-based educational system, comprising:

a content pane configured to present a presentation of a series of slides, each slide consisting of one or more frames (72 of fig. 5a), the presentation including voiceover narration (col. 3, lines 25-53);

a progress control configured to adjust a user's progress through a currently displayed slide, by adjusting the currently displayed frame of the currently displayed slide (highlight the displayed frame *USING TYING KEYS* on slide 72 of fig. 5a), Lubin does not disclose the content pane is configured to display the frames in real-time as the user scrolls. Kaply discloses in fig. 6A-6C when a user scrolls along the progress control 232, the window frames A, B, and C are displayed in real-time. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Kaply of displaying the frames in real-time as scrolling along the progress control in Lubin's system since it would help to faster the process of displaying the selected frame.

a transcript selector configured to cause a text transcript of the voiceover narration to be displayed (col. 3, lines 25-53);

Lubin does not disclose the progress control includes slider having a handle. Kaply disclose in fig. 6A a progress control includes slider 232 having a handle 234. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Kaply of including slider having a handle in the progress control in Lubin's system since it would be easier to scroll along the progress control using a slider with a handle.

Art Unit: 2174

Kaply's progress control slider 232, in fig. 6A, clearly shows distinguishable regions indicating a previously viewed portion of the currently displayed slide and a remaining portion of the currently displayed slide.

Lubin in view of Kaply does not disclose one or more navigation controls selected from the group consisting of next slide control, previous slide control, rewind slide control, play control, and pause control. Meyn discloses navigation controls 221-228 (fig. 2D; col. 12, lines 37-50) used to control the frame displays. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Meyn of including navigation controls selected from the group consisting of next slide control, previous slide control, rewind slide control, play control, and pause control in the modified Lubin's system since it would help to make the process of displaying the selected slide faster.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6590586 B1 to Swenton-Wall, Patricia L. et al. discloses interactive learning system.

US 5634018 A to Tanikoshi, Koichiro et al. discloses user interface for a browser based image storage and processing system.

US 6204840 B1 to Petelycky, Ihor et al. discloses presentation supporting method and apparatus therefor.

Inquiries

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5:30 PM with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen November 26, 2003

KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Vistine Vincaid